United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,321	04/22/2004	Hyun-Sook Kim	1594.1351	5667
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 10/31/200 LSEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700	NDL AMENITE NAM	HECKERT, JASON MARK		
WASHINGTO	NRK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/829,321	KIM ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
	1	

	Jason Heckert	1792			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 October 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause		
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co			00000		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	,,			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	: Ryu in view of Sumner.				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6-11,18,19,21-24.		II be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	4 h - f 4h - data of Silon o N				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal Will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	or be entered s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by The arguments are not persuasive. See attached.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	7 /			
13. Other:		AL	2		
		MICHAEL BA	ARR		

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

Application/Control Number: 10/829,321 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see page 8, filed 8/30/07, with respect to the rejection under 35 USC 103 (a) of Ryu in view of Sumner have been fully considered and are persuasive. This specific rejection has been withdrawn.
- 2. However, the examiner maintains the rejection of Imamura in view of Sumner, Kwon in view of Sumner, and Imamura in view of Sumner and further in view of Noguchi. Applicant is relying on the word "directly" to imply or define some type of structural limitation. However, the examiner feels that this is not sufficient to overcome the prior art rejections. Water that enters a washtub is directly inputted into both the tub and drum. Furthermore, if one were to follow the applicant's logic, which attempts to discredit the prior art references, then the instant application does not perform in the way the applicant's assert. For example, applicant claims that Imamura and Kwon fill the tub first, then the drum. If this is true, in the instant application, water is injected into the drum first, and then the tub. Thus, the word "directly" does not define the structure that differs the instant application from the previously presented prior art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Heckert whose telephone number is (571) 272-2702. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Friday, 8:00 - 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,321

Art Unit: 1792

Page 3

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571)272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JMH